

Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Construction Authority

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) C3008
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SERVICES

RFP Issued February 23, 2026

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS MATRIX #1

Questions Received On or Prior to March 18, 2026

The attached questions and answers matrix is provided in accordance with Section 1.3.2
of the Instructions to Proposers

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

	Question / Request for Clarification	Response
1.	Can experience on progressive design-build projects be used to satisfy the experience requirements in Section 2.1.3.1? For instance, Section 2.1.3.1(b)(i)?	Yes. Please clarify which type of project it was (CMAR, design-build, progressive design-build).
2.	Can experience on large water projects or fixed facilities such as airports be used where a specific project category (such as transit) is not specified?	Yes.
3.	How detailed should descriptions of work location (i.e. on-site versus remote) be in Section 2.1.3.3? We will locate where the Authority prefers.	Descriptions should focus more on the substance of performing the work. Indicating a general understanding of the various locations where work will be completed would be helpful.
4.	Will the Authority indicate who should attend the interview?	Yes. Closer to the interview date, the Authority will contact each Proposer to discuss meeting logistics, including attendance.
5.	Does the Authority provide a staffing plan or is the consultant responsible for preparing?	The Authority will provide a staffing plan each fiscal year for the consultant to use to develop annual cost estimate.
6.	Does the Authority provide an org chart or is the consultant responsible for preparing?	The Authority will provide the org chart and assign positions and roles and responsibilities to the consultant.

7.	What level of quality management is the consultant to provide?	The CMAR contractor will be responsible for quality on the project during construction. The consultant will be responsible for overseeing the CMAR contractor to ensure the CMAR contractor has a good quality program in place and oversee the CMAR contractor quality performance to ensure a quality project is provided.
8.	How involved will we be in managing the project design? In a CMAR context this can vary significantly.	The consultant will be the main manager of the designer for the project under the direction of the Authority's Chief Project Officer.
9.	Please provide the preliminary engineering so we can understand the project better	Will provide in future addendum
10.	Does the freight track relocation follow BNSF standards?	No, the freight track relocation follows SCRRRA standards
11.	Is this a multi-year contract? It appears to have a one year term, see Section 5 of the Contract.	The initial term is until 6/30/27. Multiple annual extensions have been granted for these services in the past, but are not guaranteed or automatic.
12.	Would the Authority consider decreasing the automotive liability to \$500,000 per accident, combined single limit?	No change.
13.	Has the California Public Utility Commission approved a safety and security certification plan for the project yet?	Yes

14.	Please confirm the Letter of Transmittal is excluded from the 50-page limit.	The Letter of Transmittal is excluded from the page limit.
15.	The RFP states Section 2.1.3.3(c) and the CPM schedule are excluded from the page limit; however, there is no Exhibit C or CPM schedule requirement appears to be listed elsewhere in the RFP. Please clarify what is intended.	The references to 2.1.3.3(c) and the CPM schedule will be deleted in an addendum.
16.	Please confirm whether Sections 2.3 (Conflict of Interest) and 2.4 (Required Forms) are to be submitted with the Technical Proposal or with the Cost Proposal.	The information called for in Section 2.1.1(d) and 2.1.1(e) are to be submitted with the Technical Proposal.