Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Construction Authority

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) C3005

POMONA TO CLAREMONT

DESIGN AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

RFP Issued June 26, 2025

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS MATRIX #4

Responses to Additional Questions Sent Prior to September 15, 2025

The attached questions and answers matrix is provided in accordance with Section 1.3.2 of the Instructions to Proposers

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

No.	Question	Answer
1.	We have noticed that the file 99-MSTR-REF-RV.dgn is missing from the documentation. Would it be possible for you to provide it?	The file is no longer needed.
2.	We have noticed that the file C3005_C-TOPO-3D.dgn is missing from the documentation. Would it be possible for you to provide it?	The file is no longer needed.
3.	We have noticed that the file C3005_C-AERIAL.dgn is missing from the documentation. Would it be possible for you to provide it?	The file is no longer needed.
4.	Please confirm if the 11x17 inserts are intended exclusively for schedule/diagrammatic materials (such as the design phase/deliverables diagram), or if they may also be used for technical drawings, schematics, or maps.	No, Proposer may decide.
5.	Section 2.1.5 establishes different review periods: 30 days for Authority/Metro/CMAR, and 45 days for Cities and Third Parties. Please clarify whether these review periods run concurrently (in parallel) or consecutively.	Concurrently.
6.	Additionally, please confirm if each design submittal phase (30%, 60%, 85%, 100%, AFC) must receive formal approval before the Consultant can proceed with the next design phase, or if subsequent design phases may begin while prior submittals are still under review.	Consultant can proceed while prior submittals are still under review.
7.	Could you please clarify if appraisals, relocation assistance, and right of way cost estimating are out of the scope for the consultant?	These are to be done by others and out of scope for the Consultant.
8.	We kindly request an extension of time of two weeks for the submission of proposals to provide a more comprehensive analysis due to the amount of information to review.	See revisions to the RFP cover page as well as RFP Section 3.1 Evaluation Procedure included in Addendum 4 issued 10/01/25. Proposal due date shifted to October 29, 2025. Interview Date shifted to November 12, 2025.
9.	As stated in the Contract section 4.a. (2) the consultant is entitled to invoice for each submission. upon Authority´s approval of such submission. According to the RFP appendix 2 section 2.4.1 (Authority´s review) there are some status that represent approval (Approved, approved as noted and approved for construction). We kindly request the Authority to review the compensation mechanism to allow a percentage of the payment upon submission of a deliverable; another percentage when the consultant receives the "approved as noted" status -as it means the Consultant should deliver again such document/s, and 100% upon Authority´s final approval of such document/s.	Addendum 3 added a payment milestone for submission. For all design submittals (i.e., excluding programmatic plans) other than AFC, the approval payment will also be paid on Approved as Noted.

No.	Question	Answer
10.	According to the RFP it is not clear whether the system integration activities such as: interfaces, configuration, RAMS are to be carry out during construction as well, as a role of global integrator for the project. Could you please clarify if these services are out of scope during construction and the role of global integrator is not part of the Consultant´s scope?	Consultant will not be responsible for these services / filling the role as “global integrator.”